

Department TPR and PTR Documents Routing Sheet Requirements based on 2022-2023 Faculty Manual

In accordance with the *Faculty Manual*, each department's TPR document must be approved by the regular departmental faculty, department chair, college dean, and Provost (Chapter V,D1d).

This document is intended to support the documentation of the required approvals.

College:	. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Department Faculty Meeting at wh	ich the attached TPR doc	uments were approved:
Faculty Manual Consultant		
I have reviewed this document for Comments are attached immedia		
Department Chair		
Approved	Signature	
Revision Required (see comments)	Name	Date
Approved Revision Required (see comments)	Signature	Date
Provost Approved	Signature	
	_	
D D . 1/	Name	Date

Tenure, Promotion, and Retention (TPR) Guidelines

Division of Human-Centered Computing School of Computing, Clemson University

I. General

- a. The guidelines and procedures given here apply to the faculty of the Division of Human-Centered Computing in the School of Computing at Clemson University. The objective of the Guidelines is to present general criteria for promotion and retention decisions. Individuals, each with a unique collection of strengths and weaknesses will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine their overall accomplishments and contributions to the evolving set of goals of the School, the College and the University.
- b. Authority to the HCC TPR Committee is granted by the School of Computing Bylaws (Article VII.C.a). Except as noted, per expectations of Clemson University's 2020-21 Faculty Manual, the HCC TPR guidelines within this document are distinct from School bylaws.
- c. This document does not speak to processes for extension or clinical faculty; to lecturers (any rank); or Professors of Practice. If such individuals were to join the HCC Division, their TPR processes would defer to School and/or FOI policies, until such revisions were integrated and ratified within HCC TPR Guidelines.

II. Tenure, Promotion and Retention Committee Membership

Per the School of Computing Bylaws, the Division TPR Committee consists of the tenured faculty who have a primary appointment in the Division and who hold the rank of Professor, exclusive of the School Director and the Division Chair. If there are fewer than three Professors eligible to serve on the TPR Committee, in cases involving tenure or promotion to the rank of Associate Professor, Voting Division Faculty with tenure at the rank of Associate Professor are eligible to serve on the TPR Committee. For cases involving promotion to Professor, or in cases where at least three Division Professors and Associate Professors are unavailable to serve, faculty at the rank of Professor in any School Division or University Department may be nominated by voting Faculty of the Division, and elected by all Professors of the School, to serve on the HCC TPR Committee for one year. The TPR Committee will elect its Chair from the members of the Committee who have a primary appointment in the Division. If no faculty with a primary appointment in the Division are eligible to chair the TPR Committee, then the full voting faculty of the Division will elect the TPR Committee chair from amongst the committee's members.

III. Areas of Evaluation

Consistent with the mission of Clemson University, faculty of the Division have three primary responsibilities: teaching, research and service.

Teaching

Teaching is one of the essential responsibilities of all faculty members. Promotion to any rank and/or tenure requires demonstrated effectiveness as a teacher. Evaluation will use the following major activities among others:

- classroom teaching,
- writing textbooks, lab manuals, lecture notes or class materials,
- developing new courses, curricula or programs,
- directing lab assistants,
- advising students and directing student research,
- experimenting with innovative teaching methods, and
- participating in university-sponsored teaching development activities.

An effective teacher has a good grasp of the subject, makes efforts to organize and present lectures in a manner useful to students, is responsive to student questions and difficulties and is reasonably available to students outside classroom hours. Teaching effectiveness will be assessed by measures such as student evaluations, exit interviews of graduates, alumni evaluations, and peer evaluations of instructions, seminar talks, classroom visits, grade distributions, and course portfolios. A course portfolio documents the course content and organization, and should include a syllabus, tests, quizzes, handouts, and any information about the course that is available on the web. The course assignments, course loads and areas of expertise will be factored into evaluation of teaching.

Student Advising and Mentoring

Mentorship and advising are important duties and expectations of all faculty. Evidence of student advising and mentoring include (but are not limited to):

- Demonstration of a strong record of advising and mentoring of graduate and undergraduate students as evidenced by student success
- Demonstration of effective mentoring of graduate students, including the graduation or late-stage status of at least one PhD student
- Demonstration of a successful record of engaging undergraduate research experiences

Research/Scholarly Activities

A fundamental criterion to be considered in retention, tenure and promotion decisions is a faculty member's performance in, and commitment to, scholarship, including research and other creative endeavors. The measure of performance in this category is the significance of the contributions to the discipline as judged by peers. For promotion to any level a faculty member should have a record of producing original work of quality and quantity comparable to that of colleagues of the

same rank at same or higher ranked peer institutions.

Research and scholarly activities include any activity that extends the body of knowledge in computing and application disciplines. These activities should be evidenced by refereed publications in research journals, professional conference proceedings, books, book chapters, reviews, invited presentations, or by the development of innovative and widely used software systems or patents. It is important to point out that proper evaluation by the TPR Committee requires the results of the research or scholarly activity to be available in a complete and carefully written form.

Because the evaluation of research and scholarly publications is based on their significance to the discipline, as judged by peers, the value of different publications will not be considered equally by the TPR Committee. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, a research or scholarly paper will be valued according to the characteristics of the publication in which it appears. In this regard, the highest level of peer evaluation is usually associated with the refereed research journals and conference proceedings of the discipline. While both the quantity and quality of publications will be considered, quality will be the primary consideration. The quality of a publication can be measured by acceptance rate of journal and conference, citations, and other means that can be used to demonstrate the importance and impact of the publication.

Research activities include the effort to obtain external funding to support research. The evaluation is based on the amount of award funding, the purpose of the funding (e.g. research, equipment or travel) and whether the funding is competitive and student support is generated.

Service

All faculty members will normally have a service obligation as a part of their assigned duties. Service activities include

- any activity related to School, College or University committees or other administrative work,
- participation in professional organizations, special lectures, workshops, or demonstrations,
- participation as a reviewer or editor of a professional publication, or reviewer for research proposals,
- outreach that promotes computing or application disciplines at the local or national level, and
- service to the State of South Carolina and the United State of America.

In general, service contributions beyond those assigned, especially those that lead to a high level of external peer recognition, are more important in establishing an excellent record of service.

IV. Guidelines for Retention Decisions

A decision to retain an untenured faculty member indicates satisfactory job performance and, for faculty at the rank of Assistant Professor or above, the potential for having tenure awarded at the end of the probationary period. A decision to NOT retain an untenured faculty member is the result of a less than satisfactory evaluation and will be accompanied by a list of specific deficiencies and recommendations for improvement.

V. Guidelines for Tenure Decisions

In order to be awarded tenure, a faculty member should have made significant accomplishments and contributions to the School, College and University, consistent with his/her distribution of assigned responsibilities. Contributions in areas that go beyond the assigned responsibilities are recognized as positive factors but do not reduce the obligations that form the basic assignment. Normally, in order to be awarded tenure, a faculty member should have attained the level of achievement usually required for promotion to Associate Professor

VI. Guidelines for Promotion Decisions

Promotion to Associate Professor

Promotion to the rank of Associate Professor is given in recognition of performance that is considered to be comparable to that of assistant professors who are being promoted to associate professor at same or higher ranked peer institutions. Good performance is expected of an assistant professor in order to be retained at that rank. Promotion to Associate Professor requires effectiveness in teaching and research, and national peer recognition of scholarly and professional accomplishments in computing.

Performance in teaching and research/scholarly activity is normally weighted much more heavily than performance in service activities in the evaluation of a candidate's overall performance. It is important that the TPR Committee be presented with a clear record that will enable members to evaluate the candidate's performance in each of these areas.

Evidence of effectiveness in teaching may include consistently high ratings on student course evaluations and peer/administrative teaching evaluations, undergraduate and graduate student supervision, the design and implementation of new courses, authorship of textbooks, and special awards of teaching recognition. It is expected that the typical successful candidate will have supervised Ph.D. students.

Evidence of effectiveness in research includes publications in refereed journals, conference proceedings, books, and book chapters. Direction of doctoral students and publications resulting from their dissertations, the issuance of patents, the achievement of special awards, and citations of one's research are also considered. It is expected that the typical successful candidate will

have multiple refereed publications in most years. Both singly and co-authored publications are encouraged. It is important for a faculty member to co-author publications with his/her students.

An essential component of evidence of effectiveness in research includes funding of research proposals by external funding agencies. It is expected that the typical successful candidate will have secured external research funding as a PI or co-PI with other faculty.

Recognition of an established base of scholarly contribution should extend nationally and internationally. External letters of evaluation from senior researchers at same or higher rank peer institutions are required.

Promotion to Professor

Promotion to Professor is based on the excellent performance as a leader in one or more computing disciplines. As with promotion to Associate Professor, promotion to the rank of Professor is based primarily on performance that is comparable to that of Associate Professors who are being promoted to Professor at same or higher rank peer institutions. Letters of recommendation by senior researchers outside Clemson University are required.

A candidate will have achieved recognition for an international reputation in research through a significant leadership role in the development of a field of study in computing and application disciplines, as evidenced by publications, literature citations, published reviews of one's work, invited presentations, memberships on national level committees or advisory panels, editorships of professional publications.

It is expected that a candidate for promotion for Professor will have a demonstrated record of leadership in obtaining competitive external funding for research. This record should include evidence of the ability to obtain funding through multiple awards over multiple years.

It is expected that a candidate for promotion for professor will attract graduate students, new faculty and visiting scholars to the School for collaborative work. It is expected that the a candidate will have excelled in the direction of Ph.D. students, the direction of graduate students on research projects, leadership of seminar groups or leadership in some field of study on a national level.

It is expected that a candidate for promotion to Professor will have established a record of consistently high quality in teaching. Normally, the candidate will have also participated in the creation and implementation of one or more new courses and will have played a role in the development of new curricula and/or new programs of study. The evaluation of teaching performance for promotion to Professor will include the same student, peer, and administrative evaluations as for other TPR actions.

Moreover, promotion to Professor requires a consistent record of service, either to the School, the College, the University, the State, and the Nation or to a particular community of computing scholars.

Promotion to Research Associate Professor and Research Professor

Promotion of research faculty is given in recognition of research performance that is considered to be comparable to that of tenure track/tenured faculty members with the same rank.

VII. Changing Guidelines

It is important to recognize that as the School, College and the University change their goals over time these Guidelines for Tenure, Promotion and Retention must also change to better reflect current realities. Consequently, these Guidelines represent a moving and evolving target. The standards that may have existed at the time a faculty member was hired are not frozen in time for that person. Rather, it is expected that the faculty member will similarly change with the times and keep current as well as possible with this moving target. However, all evaluations for tenure, promotion and retention must take into account the conditions that existed at the time the faculty member was hired and during the first several years of employment.

VIII. Procedures

The School procedures for tenure, promotion and retention decisions are as follows. Each candidate is responsible for furnishing up-to-date information in support of tenure, promotion or retention in the format specified by the University and the College. Each faculty member needs to follow the University timeline to submit request files to initiate the procedures.

Retention and Evaluation of Untenured Tenure-Track Faculty

A recommendation on the retention of each untenured tenure-track faculty member will be made each year, except during the penultimate year of the probationary period, when a tenure recommendation and promotion must be made. The annual evaluation will conclude with an indication as to what further accomplishments and improvements are necessary for a positive tenure recommendation. In the penultimate year, based on a comprehensive portfolio, the Division Chair, School Director and the TPR Committee will make evaluations and make their recommendations to the Dean of the College. One evaluation will be performed by the TPR Committee. Additional independent evaluation will be performed by the Division Chair and School Director. They may provide either a joint evaluation letter or individual evaluation letters.

Tenure and Promotion of Faculty

A faculty member who wishes to be considered for tenure and/or promotion during a particular academic year must notify the Division Chair, School Director and TPR Committee Chair according to the timeline published by the university (typically seen in the Provost's "Calendar of Dates and Deadlines") for the current academic year. Each candidate will submit a comprehensive portfolio and names of her/his chosen external reviewers to the TPR Committee according to the published timeline.

All relevant information considered by the TPR Committee will be made available to the candidate for inspection and, if so desired by the candidate, for comment. The only exceptions to this policy are letters of recommendation, which are confidential.

Post Tenure Review (PTR)

The HCC PTR Committee will be composed of all tenured faculty members with a primary appointment in the division and who are not being reviewed in the current year, excluding School Officers. If fewer than three members are eligible to serve on the PTR Committee then additional tenured faculty will be nominated and elected to serve on the PTR Committee for one year in the same fashion as for the constitution of HCC TPR committees. This committee functions in accordance with Clemson University's Faculty Manual Guidelines and Procedures for Post Tenure Review (2021-22) and makes recommendations to the Division Chair, School Director and the Dean on the professional contributions of each Faculty member undergoing post-tenure review. The PTR Committee will elect its Chair from the members of the Committee.

Procedures for PTR shall follow these guidelines:

- All faculty members who hold a tenured faculty position shall be subject to PTR generally every five years, beginning at the time that tenure is granted. Promotion during that period does not alter the schedule for review. PTR covering the five-year period is conducted during the Fall semester of the sixth year, when one or more faculty members is scheduled for review. The year or years in which a faculty member is on sabbatical, unpaid leave, and/or extended sick leave shall not be counted in the review period.
- Post tenure reviews are linked to the Annual Reviews and goals outlined by the Division Chair, but the Division Chair, School Director, and the Dean must not be involved directly in the peer review process at the Division level.
- The PTR committee reviews the ratings received on the most recent available series of five years of annual performance reviews. All tenured faculty members receiving no more than one (of five) annual performance rating of "fair," "marginal," or "unsatisfactory" in Faculty Manual's Part I of the Post Tenure Review process receive a Post-Tenure Review rating of "satisfactory." These faculty members are thereby exempt from Part II of Post-Tenure Review. All tenured faculty members receiving two or more annual performance ratings of "fair," "marginal," or "unsatisfactory" will be reviewed under the Faculty Manual's Part II of PTR. If the School Director and Division Chair have elected to provide independent evaluations, then the higher of these will be used for purposes of Part I.

Faculty who are subject to Part II of the post tenure review process will have the option of either having external letters solicited or incorporating an external committee member in the external review process. If an external committee member is desired, such committee member will be a faculty member or professional equivalent from outside the division, nominated and elected by the other members of the HCC division. If external letters are to be solicited and incorporated for post-tenure review, there must be at least four letters, two from a list of four submitted by the faculty

member under review.

With these reference letters or external committee member input as guidance, the PTR Committee will assign the faculty subject to Part II review a rating of "satisfactory" or "unsatisfactory" based on expectations in research, teaching and mentoring, and service, commensurate with the expectations associated with promotion to the faculty's current rank.

• Individuals who receive a rating of "unsatisfactory" must be given a period of remediation to correct deficiencies detailed in the PTR reports. The Division Chair, in consultation with the PTR committee and the faculty member, shall provide a list of specific goals and measurable outcomes that the faculty member should achieve in each of the three calendar years following the date of formal notification of the unsatisfactory outcome. The School Director has the option of endorsing the recommendation of the Chair, or adding a separate review and recommendation.

Tenure and Appointment of New Faculty at the Rank of Associate Professor or Professor

It is expected that newly hired faculty will satisfy the tenure and promotion expectations in this document, at a minimum, to be appointed with or without tenure at the rank of Associate Professor or Professor.

For newly hired faculty, the Division Chair and the TPR Committee will make independent evaluations and make their recommendations to the School Director.

Promotion of Research Faculty

The candidate who would like to be promoted to a higher rank will notify the TPR Committee Chair, the Division Chair, and the School Director. The Division Chair and the TPR Committee will independently evaluate the package and make the recommendation to School Director, who will make the final decision.

Emendation of the Guidelines

The guidelines may be amended by a majority vote of the Division faculty under procedures.

Requirements for DEPARTMENTAL TPR and PTR DOCUMENTS - 2022-2023 Faculty Manual

Department: Division of Human-Centered Computing, School of Computing

Date

9/10/2022

NOTE: The TPR document must be approved by the regular departmental faculty, department chair, college dean, and Provost (Chapter V, D1d). This list may be useful to ensure departmental TPR and PTR documents conform with the *Faculty Manual*. Updated 8/12/2019.

Compliance

NOTE: Principal lecturers must be incorporated into department TPR documents by August 1, 2021

	equirement	Reference	Yes	No	N/
	Consistent otherwise with the Faculty Manual and internally and with departmental bylaws	Ch III, A1c	X		
T	he TPR document is distinct from departmental bylaws	Ch V, D1c	X		
С	riteria for tenure	Ch V, D1b	X		
Pı	rocess for tenure	Ch V, D1b	X		
	Consistent with the requirement that tenure applications, once submitted, cannot be withdrawn New in 2018-2019 Faculty Manual)	Ch V, C3	Х		
Q	Qualifications (criteria) for reappointment	Ch V, D1c	l	l	
	* assistant and untenured associate professor	Ch V, D1b	Х		
	* research faculty	Ch IV, B2e & B2b, i(3)			
	* extension faculty	Ch IV, B2e & B2b, ii(4)			
	* clinical faculty	Ch IV, B2e			
	* lecturer	Ch IV, B2e; Ch V, C2b, i			
	* senior lecturer	Ch IV, B2e; Ch V, C2c			
	* principal lecturer (Can delay until Aug 1, 2021)	Ch IV, B2e; Ch V, C2d			
	* Professor of Practice	Ch IV, B2e			
	rocesses for reappointment (annual except as noted below)	Ch V, D1c			
	* assistant and untenured associate professor	Ch V, D1b	Х		
	* research faculty	1	Λ		
	* extension faculty	Ch IV, B2e			
	* clinical faculty	Ch IV, B2e			-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ch IV, B2e			
	* lecturer	Ch IV, B2e; Ch V, C2b, i			
	* including feedback from senior and principal lecturers	Ch V, D1g			
_	* senior lecturer	Ch IV, B2e; Ch V, C2c			
	* including feedback from senior and principal lecturers	Ch V, D1g			
	* at least every three years and in penultimate year	Ch V, C2c, i			
	* principal lecturer (Can delay until Aug 1, 2021)	Ch IV, B2e; Ch V, C2d			
	* including feedback from principal lecturers	Ch V, D1g			
	* at least every five years and in penultimate year	Ch V, C2d, i			
	* Professor of Practice	Ch IV, B2e			
	Qualifications (criteria) for promotion	Ch V, C4a, i			
	* to associate professor	Ch IV, B1f, iii	X		
	* to full professor	Ch IV, B1f, iv	X		
	* research faculty ranks	Ch IV, B1e			
	* extension faculty ranks	Ch IV, B1e			
	* clinical faculty ranks	Ch IV, B1e			
	* to senior lecturer	Ch IV, B1e & B2i, iv(3),(b)			
	* to principal lecturer (Can delay until Aug 1, 2021)	Ch IV, B1e & B2i, iv(4),(b)			
Pı	rocesses for promotion	Ch V, D1c			
	* to associate professor	Ch V, D1c	X		
	* to full professor	Ch V, D1c	Х		
	* research faculty ranks	Ch V, D1c			
	* extension faculty ranks	Ch V, D1c			
	* clinical faculty ranks	Ch V, D1c			
	* to senior lecturer	Ch IV, B2i, iv(3),(b)			
-	* including feedback from senior and principal lecturers	Ch V, D1g			
-	* to principal lecturer (Can delay until Aug 1, 2021)	Ch IV, B2i, iv(4),(b)			
	* including feedback from principal lecturers				_
	mending recuback from principal recturers	Ch V, D1g			
	and committee structure of departmental TPR committees, adhering to Faculty Manual require		ollowir	ng:	
•	Procedures the TPR Committee must follow	Ch V, D1c	X		1

		1	1 1
10	• The composition of the TPR committee shall be defined in the TPR document (change from	Ch V, D1e, i	X
	2018-2019; this committee need not be elected)		A
11	• The TPR committee's members shall not be appointed by the department chair (new in 2019-	Ch V, D1e, i	
	2020)		^ ^
12	• Voting rights on a committee making tenure recommendations are limited to tenured regular	Ch V, D1e, ii	V
	faculty		X
13	• The Committee shall be composed of full-time regular faculty members excluding individuals	Ch V, D1e, ii	
	who as administrators, have input into personnel decisions such as appointment, tenure and		X
	promotion		
14	• Voting rights on a committee making a recommendation concerning promotion to rank or	Ch V, D1e, iii	
	appointment at a rank are limited to regular faculty with equivalent rank or higher		X
15	• The Committee must have a minimum of three departmental members, and a mechanism to	Ch V, D1e, iv	
	elect additional members from outside the unit if not possible that is consistent with Ch V, D2a,	.,, .	X
	ii		
16	Departmental procedures for peer evaluation shall be in writing in the TPR document and	Ch V, D1f, i	
10	shall be available to the faculty, the chair, the dean, and the Provost	011 1, 211, 1	X
Guideli	nes providing details of the PTR process adhering to Faculty Manual requirements to include at lea	st the following:	-
17	Post tenure review criteria and processes are documented in the TPR document	Ch V, G3a	X
18	Specific guidelines	Ch V, G3a	X
19	Specification of ONE option for external representation	Ch V, G6a	X
19a	Process for <i>selecting</i> an external PTR member if this is part of the Post-tenure review	Ch V, G6a, ii	X
17a	process	Cii v, Coa, ii	
19b	If external letters are required for post-tenure review, there must be at least four letters, two	Ch V, G6e	X
170	from list of six submitted by faculty member	Cii v, Goc	
19c	Allow each faculty member under review the option of either having external letters	Ch V, G6a, iii	X
190	solicited or incorporating the external committee member in the review process	Cii v, Goa, iii	
20	Procedures for creating the Post-Tenure Review Committee (need not be separate from the	Ch V, G4a	X
20	TPR Committee; need not be elected)	Cn v, G4a	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
21	Only tenured faculty may serve on the PTR Committee	CL V C41	X
21	Only tenured faculty may serve on the PTR Committee The PTR Committee shall have a minimum of three members	Ch V, G4b	X
22		Ch V, G4c	
23	• Faculty members in Part II of PTR are not eligible to serve on the PTR committee	Ch V, G4d	X
24	The PTR Committee shall elect its own chair	Ch V, G4e	X

Comments

Note The School of Computing has a Faculty of Instruction TPR document which includes information for Professors of Practice and all lecturer ranks.