

"To what extent does the use of evidence (data in various forms) influence how we behave at our institution?" Schuh, Biddoz, Dean & Kinzie, 2016, p. 323

Developing a Culture of Evidence

In the free webinar, <u>The Culture, Ethics and Politics of Student Affairs Assessment</u>, Dr. Anne E. Lundquist of <u>Campus Labs</u>, shares that developing a culture of evidence is important, takes time, and requires intentionality at the institutional, divisional, and departmental level.

The following are the Key Enablers of ClemsonForward:

- Strategic revenue growth: Manage enrollment, legislative and development priorities while enhancing entrepreneurial activities, operational efficiencies and revenue allocation to support ClemsonForward priorities
- **Building Futures**: Build new state-of-the-art facilities, renovate aging structures and create multi-function spaces that provide a vibrant academic, research, student life and work environment
- College reorganization: To enhance the academic learning and working environment
- Accountability and leadership: Prudently manage resources, focus on impact rather than activity, clearly identify ownership of key initiatives and provide transparency through regular reporting to University leaders, Board of Trustees and the campus community

All of us must ensure we maximize human and financial resources in our programs, services, and administrative functions while achieving desired outcomes that align with ClemsonForward and Division of Student Affairs priorities. If learning is at the core of the program or service, it should be measured and mapped to the Student Affairs framework and then any specific departmental outcomes. By having a philosophy of continuous improvement, we move from a culture of good intentions to a culture of evidence. Below is a graphic of the evolution and on the next page is a self-diagnostic rubric.

Moving Toward a Culture of Evidence



| | A C | A C. Ia | A C. IsE | A C |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | A CUITURE OF | A Culture of | A CUITURE OF | A Culture of |
| | Good Intentions | Justification | Strategy | Evidence |
| Intentionality | People have a sense that | People can describe what | People can describe what | People know that they are doing the right |
| (Thoughtfulness in | they are doing good | they are doing (i.e. | they are accomplishing | things and can describe why they are |
| | things. | operational or procedural | (i.e. strategic pertinence, | doing them, and what they are |
| action or decision) | | specificity). | how what they are doing | accomplishing through them. |
| | | | relates to mission and | |
| | | | goals). | |
| Perspective | Incidental / Opportunistic. | After-the-Fact. | Before-the-fact. | Real Time / Continuous. |
| (notice of the second | Recognize data is | Data is used retroactively | Assessment is designed | Data is collected and regularly used to |
| | important, but do not | as justification for | with an end in mind. | inform processes. Data helps us close the |
| Insututional role and | make any particular | predetermined positions | (e.g. Identification of | loop on improvement processes and |
| general point of view) | efforts to collect it. | or prior decisions. | learning outcomes, how | educational outcomes. |
| | | | the data will be used) | |
| Critical Linkages | Unclear / Opaque. | Cloudy. | Translucent. | Clear / Transparent. |
| Connections that | Data, when collected, is | Assessment conducted | Assessment understood | Outsiders can see and understand |
| | not shared beyond | from a defensive posture, | and shared, but only with | contributions to student and institutional |
| manage movement and | assessors, so connections | especially related to | allies or key partners. | success. Assessment is shared with all |
| relationships) | cannot be made. | questions of budgetary | Scope is limited to mid- | stakeholders. |
| | | and operational efficiency. | managers. | |
| Initiatives and | Determined by whim, | Administration initiates | Directors own and initiate | All stakeholders own assessment. |
| | interest, opportunity. | assessment and it is done | assessment. Data describe | Success is operationalized, concretely |
| Directions | | only when asked for or | the current situation. | described, and evaluated based on |
| (Goals, programs, | | required. | | evidence. |
| projects, and plans) | | | | |
| Planning | Vague and individualized. | Sporadic and limited to | Organized, routinized, and | Ongoing, strategic and clearly linked to |
| 0 | Success is vague or | immediate question or | localized. Data informs | past and future. Triangulation of findings |
| Processes | interpretive, and | application. Data linked | deliberate cyclical or | through multiple/established |
| (Strategic planning, goal | evaluated based on "feel," | retroactively to strategic | episodic strategic planning | assessments. Data incorporated into |
| setting, measuring | intent and effort. | context, goals, | exercises. | continuous strategic thinking. |
| outcomes) | Collective or strategic | expectations, etc. but not | | |
| | planning does not exist. | planning-oriented. | | |
| Spurlock. R.S. & Johnston | . A.J. (2012). Measuring a Cultu | re of Evidence. In M. Culp & G. | Duney (Eds.). Building a Cultur | Sourlock R.S. & Johnston A.J. (2012). Measuring a Culture of Evidence. In M. Culo & G. Dungv (Eds.). Building a Culture of Evidence (p. 65). Washington, DC: NASPA. |